

Ethics game

Design template

Draft concept of the game

Ethics curriculum content

- Concepts
- Terms
- Processes

level of mastery

Before

After

Assessment of mastery

- Objective
- Interpreted
 - Self (Can I apply the Triangle and concepts?)
 - Peers (Can most of my peers apply...?)
 - Faculty (Did the officers apply...?)
 - Observer (How did it go?)

4 scenarios where professional ethics are engaged

- Typical, significant issue
- Max ½ page of content details
- Commander asking staff to apply the triangle
- Commander asking for a recommendation/synthesis

	Team 1	Team 2	Team 3	Team 4
Round 1	J	W	W	W
Round 2	W	J	W	W
Round 3	W	W	J	W
Round 4	W	W	W	J

Homework

Common reading

Prepare notes on your scenario that your team will judge the other 3

Handout specifying the strict rules of the game

You will integrate your notes with your team in class to create a scenario rubric for the scenario you judge

1. 10 min: each team collaborates to integrate their solution/notes for their scenario
2. 4 scenarios. 4 rounds., 50 min for each round, 1 scenario examined in each round
3. **Each round:** 1 team judging, 3 teams working
4. **Sequence of each round:** (25 min each round)
 1. Prep: Receive scenario; 5 min to develop an opening position
 2. Opening statements: 1 min for each group to make opening statement
 3. Question prep: 1 min to prepare 1 question for each of the other 2 teams
 4. Question round 1: A->B, B-> C, C-> A 10 sec to ask , 10 sec to think, 30 sec to answer
 5. Question round 2: A->C, C->B, B-> A; 10 sec to ask, 10 sec to think, 30 sec to answer
 6. Closing prep: 2 min to prepare
 7. Closing remarks: each team 30 sec closing remarks
5. **Judging (each round):** 5 min
 1. Judges: 1 min to cast your scores
 2. Explanation: each judge 30 sec to justify your scores (2 min)
 3. Each team: 1 min to make notes about fairness and justice
6. **Final judging:** 1 min for each person to judge the 3 other teams on overall performance, body of work
 1. Each person pick a best and worst team, vote by secret ballot
7. **Final score tabulation:** compare expert judges and studio audience
8. **Discussion:**

Constraints

Each opening statement must address all 3 elements of the ethical triangle and a recommendation to the commander for how to proceed. Each team member must speak in each scenario

Judgment criteria:

Opening statement: referred to all 3 elements of the Triangle? logical? Organized? Communication style and effectiveness?

Questions: Meaningful, relevant, important and professional?

Answers: Answered the question asked, professional, correctly applied the triangle, clear and persuasive

Summary: Logical, persuasive, professional, effective

Hypothesis: each person

- Individual deep prep and also a member of a team
- Speaks each round
- Peer judgment
- 1 detailed, 3 rapid applications
- Develop confidence and ability to rapidly & professionally assess, discuss, justify, critique